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## Foundations: Goals of a Utility



## Foundations: Specific Challenge for Utilities

Figure 2. Top 10 issues facing the water sector as ranked by all respondents, 2019-2023


## Foundations: Specific Challenge for Utilities

- Capital Intensive
$\checkmark$ Compared to other industries
$\checkmark$ US EPA estimates nationwide capital spending \$625B for water infrastructure over 20 years
> Fitch estimates funding gap $>\$ 85$ billion over next 5 years that must be covered by paygo or additional debt.
$\checkmark 2022 \mathrm{WI}$ average asset efficiency $=\$ 13.50$
$>$ Class AB $=\$ 10.75$
> Class C $=\$ 12.82$
> Class D $=\$ 14.71$


## UTILITY WORK AHEAD

Effective Planning Strategies for Large Utility Projects FUNDING OPTIONS

## Funding Options



## Environmental

 Improvement Fund (EIF)Loan Program State Trust Fund Loan (STFL) Program

United States
Department of Agriculture
(USDA) Loan Program

## Open Market Securities

## General Obligation

## Revenue

Pledge: Revenue of the utility(ies)
Interest Rate: Typically, lower than revenue

Maximum Term: 20 years
Debt Limit: 5\% of Equalized Value

Covenants: None
Covenants: Debt service reserve \& coverage

## Local Bank Loans

- Can work with local/regional banking institution to complete financing
$\checkmark$ Competitive or non-competitive
- Rate typically dependent on comfort level
- Usually, can get favorable terms
$\checkmark$ Prepayment ability
$\checkmark$ Reduced covenants
- Work best for low par amount or "stories"



## Environmental Improvement Fund (EIF)

- State Revolving Loan Fund combining:
$\checkmark$ EPA capitalization grants, state revolving loan fund (SRF's) \& state funding
$\checkmark$ Pledge loan as G.O., revenue or combination without changing interest rate
$\checkmark$ Issue as taxable without changing rate; requires taxable variance request
$>$ Can be used in situations when an industrial service agreement or bank qualification status is needed


## EIF: Two Programs

## Clean Water Fund Loan (CWF): 1991

- Sewer replacement and rehab, wastewater treatment facility/plant upgrades
- Requires Equipment Replacement Fund: funds mechanical assets with less than a 20-year lifespan (itemized or percentage method)


## Safe Drinking Water Fund Loan (SDWF): 1998

- New wells, wellhouse rehabilitation, storage, watermain replacements


## EIF: Eligibility

## Who?

Municipalities or local governments

What?
Planning, design \& construction work

## CWF

Program Check Eligibility Index

## SDWF <br> Program

Check Eligibility Index

## EIF: Ineligible Project Costs



## EIF: Timeline

## October 31:

- CWFP \& SDWLP

Intent to Apply
(ITA) deadline for
following state
fiscal year funding
cycle

## June 30:

- SDWLP Application deadline for upcoming state fiscal year funding cycle

Had to submit ITAs by 10/31/23 deadline if seeking funding in SFY 2025 (7/1/24-6/30/25)

## September 30:

- CWFP Application deadline if requesting principal forgiveness for current funding cycle.

Applications accepted yearround for CWFP projects not seeking principal forgiveness

## EIF: Interim Financing Considerations

- Bridge
$\checkmark$ Project starts prior to EIF closing
$\checkmark$ User rates implementation
- Structure
- Limitations
$\checkmark$ Project costs in loan application
$\checkmark$ Issuance costs limited to $\$ 15,000$ plus $0.5 \%$ of eligible face amount of interim financing
$\checkmark$ Interest recovery
EHLERS


## EIF: Pros \& Cons

## Pros

- Program subsidy buys down the market rate on the Ioan
- Possible principal forgiveness
- Term up to 30 Years
- Draw loans


## Cons

- Loans are generally not prepayable
- Not guaranteed funding
- Project bidding requirements


## State Trust Fund Loan Program (STFL)

Eligibility:


Term:


## Annual Payments



Prepayment:
generally allowed with 30-day written notice

Except from
September $1^{\text {st }}$ to
December 31 st

## State Trust Fund Loan Program (STFL)

- Loans considered taxable
- Flexible repayment schedule upon request
- Issued as a revenue obligation
$\checkmark$ Interest rate determined at time of application \& based upon BCPL risk assessment
$\checkmark$ Applicable program for utilities \& tax increment projects
>Parity considerations for utility revenue bonds
$>$ TID projects limited to $80 \%$ of shared revenue amount in prior year


## USDA Rural Development Loans

- Loan program for water \& wastewater system upgrades
- Eligible for communities with populations < 10,000
- Low interest Ioan \& grant options

```
Current Interest Rates for 2 }\mp@subsup{}{}{\mathrm{ nd }}\mathrm{ quarter FY 2024, effective January 1, }202
Poverty: 2.250%
Intermediate: 3.000%
Market:
3.750%
```

- Up to 40-year term option
- Generally prepayable


## USDA Rural Development Loans

Typically requires interim financing through completion of project

> If significant industrial users, USDA may require letter of commitment from industrial customers

USDA may be flexible with debt coverage requirements upon request

## Case Study: Debt Options Components

| 1: Safe Drinking Water <br> Fund Loan | 2: USDA RD Loan <br> (Market Debt) |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| DNR/DOA Program | USDA Program | Muni Security |
| Non-prepayable | Subsidized Int. Rate <br> (80\% Market) | Rate subject to market conditions |
| Subsidized Int. Rate <br> (55\% Market) | Level P\&I | Open Structure |
| Level P\&I | Subject to <br> Application/Timing | Can be done at any time |
| Subject to Application/SFY <br> Timing | Debt Service Reserve/Fund <br> over time | Debt Service Reserve/Add COI |
| No Debt Service <br> Reserve/Low COI | Coverage 1.10x | Coverage Generally $1.25 x$ |
| Coverage 1.10x | Max 40 yr. term | Typically, 20 yr. term |
| Max 30 yr. term |  |  |

## Long-Term Financing Results

|  | 1: Safe Drinking <br> Water Fund Loan <br> $(20-\mathrm{yr})$ | 2: USDA RD <br> Loan (40-yr) | 3: Market <br> (Rev; 20-yr) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Additional Annual <br> Debt Service | $\$ 248 \mathrm{k}$ | \$226k | \$305k |
| Water Rate <br> Adjustment | $92.5 \%$ | $100.5 \%$ | $120 \%$ |
| Water Rate Above <br> Minimum | $59.3 \%$ | $67.3 \%$ | $75 \%$ |

Effective Planning Strategies for Large Utility Projects BUILDING UTILITY RATES

## Building Utility Rates: Revenue Requirement

How much revenue should we generate from user rates?

## Cash Basis

+ Op. \& Maint. Expenses
+ Taxes/Transfer Payments
+ Debt Service (P\&l)
+ Capital funded from rates
- Less Non-rate Revenue
= Total Revenue Requirements


## Utility Basis

+ Op. \& Maint. Expenses
+ Taxes/Transfer Payments
+ Depreciation
+ Rate of Return on Rate Base
- Less Non-Rate Revenue
= Total Revenue Requirements


## Building Utility Rates: Water

- Regulated by Public Service Commission (PSC)
- Understand your windows of opportunity
$\checkmark$ Conventional rate case
> Utility basis (PSC Math)
> One-step vs. two-step
$\checkmark$ Simplified rate case
$\checkmark$ Purchased water adjustment


## Water Case Study: Historical Rate Performance

| Revenue Requirement |  | Shown with no increase |  |  |  | Est | $\begin{gathered} \text { Budget } \\ 2023 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Component | Description | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 |  |
| Cash Basis |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | Operating and Maintenance | \$481,696 | \$734,700 | \$457,684 | \$591,440 | \$526,511 | \$565,306 |
| 2 | Debt | \$332,764 | \$380,341 | \$442,999 | \$475,356 | \$424,315 | \$489,453 |
| 3 | Cash Funded Capital ${ }^{1}$ | \$83,191 | \$120,671 | \$526,426 | \$118,839 | \$298,079 | \$122,363 |
| Less: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Other Revenue | \$79,730 | \$79,580 | \$70,931 | \$86,218 | \$75,100 | \$141,149 |
|  | Interest Income | \$20,082 | \$23,423 | \$8,096 | \$1,001 | \$1,030 | \$16,015 |
|  | Revenue Requirement (Costs less Other Income) | \$797,839 | \$1,132,709 | \$1,348,082 | \$1,098,416 | \$1,172,775 | \$1,019,958 |
|  | User Rates Revenue | \$962,606 | \$853,856 | \$831,570 | \$844,648 | \$819,500 | \$826,000 |
|  | Rate Adequacy | \$164,767 | $(\$ 278,853)$ | $(\$ 516,512)$ | (\$253,768) | (\$353,275) | (\$193,958) |
|  | Proj. Rate Rev. Adj. Needed | 0.00\% | 32.66\% | 62.11\% | 30.04\% | 43.11\% | 23.48\% |
| Utility Basis (PSC) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | Operating and Maintenance | \$481,696 | \$734,700 | \$457,684 | \$591,440 | \$526,511 | \$565,306 |
| 23 | Depreciation | \$241,182 | \$256,404 | \$259,721 | \$272,543 | \$274,176 | \$290,329 |
|  | NIRB | \$3,438,694 | \$3,962,042 | \$4,040,398 | \$4,519,004 | \$4,738,047 | \$5,052,085 |
|  | Recommended ROI (6\%) | \$206,322 | \$237,722 | \$242,424 | \$271,140 | \$284,283 | \$303,125 |
| Less: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | Other Revenue | \$79,730 | \$79,580 | \$70,931 | \$86,218 | \$75,100 | \$141,149 |
|  | Interest Income | \$20,082 | \$23,423 | \$8,096 | \$1,001 | \$1,030 | \$16,015 |
|  | Revenue Requirement (Costs less Other Income) | \$829,388 | \$1,125,823 | \$880,802 | \$1,047,904 | \$1,008,840 | \$1,001,596 |
|  | User Rates Revenue | \$962,606 | \$853,856 | \$831,570 | \$844,648 | \$819,500 | \$826,000 |
|  | Rate Adequacy | \$133,218 | (\$271,967) | (\$49,232) | (\$203,256) | (\$189,340) | (\$175,596) |
|  | Proj. Rate Rev. Adj. Needed | 0.00\% | 31.85\% | 5.92\% | 24.06\% | 23.10\% | 21.26\% |

## Water Case Study: Where are we Going?

|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Budget } \\ 2023 \end{gathered}$ |  | Projected |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 2024 | 2025 | 2026 | 2027 | 2028 | 2029 | 2030 | 2031 | 2032 |
| Revenues |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Revenues from User Rates ${ }^{1}$ |  | \$826,000 | \$1,008,306 | \$1,008,306 | \$1,008,306 | \$1,008,306 | \$1,008,306 | \$1,008,306 | \$1,008,306 | \$1,008,306 | \$1,008,306 |
| Percent Increase to User Rates |  | 0.00\% | 22.07\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% |
| Cumulative Percent Rate Increase |  | 0.00\% | 22.07\% | 22.07\% | 22.07\% | 22.07\% | 22.07\% | 22.07\% | 22.07\% | 22.07\% | 22.07\% |
| Dollar Amount Increase to Revenues |  |  | \$182,306 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Other Revenues |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interest Income |  | \$16,015 | \$16,055 | \$16,135 | \$16,216 | \$16,297 | \$16,379 | \$16,460 | \$16,543 | \$16,708 | \$16,875 |
| Other Income |  | \$152,133 | \$69,993 | \$70,693 | \$71,400 | \$72,114 | \$72,835 | \$73,563 | \$74,299 | \$75,785 | \$77,301 |
| Total Other Revenues |  | \$168,148 | \$86,048 | \$86,828 | \$87,616 | \$88,411 | \$89,214 | \$90,024 | \$90,842 | \$92,493 | \$94,176 |
| Total Revenues |  | \$994,148 | \$1,094,354 | \$1,095,134 | \$1,095,922 | \$1,096,717 | \$1,097,519 | \$1,098,330 | \$1,099,148 | \$1,100,799 | \$1,102,482 |
| Less: Expenses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Operating and Maintenance ${ }^{2}$ |  | \$380,306 | \$391,715 | \$403,467 | \$415,571 | \$428,038 | \$440,879 | \$454,105 | \$467,728 | \$481,760 | \$496,213 |
| PILOT Payment |  | \$185,000 | \$190,550 | \$196,267 | \$202,154 | \$208,219 | \$214,466 | \$220,900 | \$227,527 | \$234,352 | \$241,383 |
| Net Before Debt Service and Capital Expenditures |  | \$428,842 | \$512,089 | \$495,401 | \$478,197 | \$460,460 | \$442,175 | \$423,325 | \$403,893 | \$384,686 | \$364,886 |
| Debt Service |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Existing Debt P\&I |  | \$489,453 | \$349,496 | \$337,071 | \$324,753 | \$218,965 | \$214,648 | \$215,243 | \$210,709 | \$211,038 | \$211,350 |
| New (2023-2032) Debt Service P\&I |  | \$0 | \$110,930 | \$118,984 | \$134,893 | \$145,204 | \$145,591 | \$140,833 | \$131,151 | \$126,540 | \$116,995 |
| Total Debt Service |  | \$489,453 | \$460,426 | \$456,055 | \$459,645 | \$364,169 | \$360,239 | \$356,076 | \$341,860 | \$337,577 | \$328,345 |
| Transfer In (Out) |  | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Less: Capital Improvements \& COI |  | \$849,783 | \$341,000 | \$175,461 | \$130,000 | \$105,000 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Debt Proceeds |  | \$845,000 | \$0 | \$265,000 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Net Annual Cash Flow |  | $(\$ 65,394)$ | (\$289,337) | \$128,886 | (\$111,448) | $(\$ 8,709)$ | \$81,936 | \$67,249 | \$62,033 | \$47,109 | \$36,540 |
| Restricted and Unrestricted Cash Balance: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Balance at first of year | - | \$1,375,621 | \$1,310,227 | \$1,020,890 | \$1,149,776 | \$1,038,327 | \$1,029,618 | \$1,111,554 | \$1,178,803 | \$1,240,836 | \$1,287,945 |
| Net Annual Cash Flow Addition/(subtraction) |  | $(\$ 65,394)$ | $(\$ 289,337)$ | \$128,886 | (\$111,448) | $(\$ 8,709)$ | \$81,936 | \$67,249 | \$62,033 | \$47,109 | \$36,540 |
| Balance at end of year |  | \$1,310,227 | \$1,020,890 | \$1,149,776 | \$1,038,327 | \$1,029,618 | \$1,111,554 | \$1,178,803 | \$1,240,836 | \$1,287,945 | \$1,324,486 |
| "All-in" Debt Coverage |  | 0.88 | 1.11 | 1.09 | 1.04 | 1.26 | 1.23 | 1.19 | 1.18 | 1.14 | 1.11 |
| PSC Days Cash on Hand |  | 474 | 343 | 378 | 391 | 386 | 440 | 487 | 525 | 554 | 574 |

Legend 2) Assumes $3.00 \%$ annual inflation beyond budget year

## Water Case Study: Alternatives

- To lower CRC impact:
$\checkmark$ Request lower ROR from PSC
$\checkmark$ Reserves could go below recommended benchmark
- Utility could use simplified rate case process to implement rates over time
$\checkmark$ As new projects come up, consider using debt instead of cash
$\checkmark$ Eligible now


## Water Case Study: SRC Alternative

|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Budget } \\ 2023 \end{gathered}$ | Projected |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2024 | 2025 | 2026 | 2027 | 2028 | 2029 | 2030 | 2031 | 2032 |
| Revenues |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Revenues from User Rates ${ }^{1}$ | \$859,040 | \$905,461 | \$932,625 | \$960,604 | \$989,422 | \$1,004,044 | \$1,004,044 | \$1,004,044 | \$1,004,044 | \$1,004,044 |
| Percent Increase to User Rates | 8.00\% | 3.00\% | 3.00\% | 3.00\% | 3.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% |
| Cumulative Percent Rate Increase | 8.00\% | 11.24\% | 14.58\% | 18.01\% | 21.55\% | 21.55\% | 21.55\% | 21.55\% | 21.55\% | 21.55\% |
| Dollar Amount Increase to Revenues |  | \$46,421 | \$27,164 | \$27,979 | \$28,818 | \$14,622 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Other Revenues |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interest Income | \$16,015 | \$16,055 | \$16,135 | \$16,216 | \$16,297 | \$16,379 | \$16,460 | \$16,543 | \$16,708 | \$16,875 |
| Other Income | \$152,133 | \$69,993 | \$70,693 | \$71,400 | \$72,114 | \$72,835 | \$73,563 | \$74,299 | \$75,785 | \$77,301 |
| Total Other Revenues | \$168,148 | \$86,048 | \$86,828 | \$87,616 | \$88,411 | \$89,214 | \$90,024 | \$90,842 | \$92,493 | \$94,176 |
| Total Revenues | \$1,027,188 | \$991,509 | \$1,019,453 | \$1,048,220 | \$1,077,833 | \$1,093,257 | \$1,094,068 | \$1,094,886 | \$1,096,537 | \$1,098,220 |
| Less: Expenses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Operating and Maintenance ${ }^{2}$ | \$386,954 | \$398,563 | \$410,519 | \$422,835 | \$435,520 | \$448,586 | \$462,043 | \$475,905 | \$490,182 | \$504,887 |
| PILOT Payment | \$185,000 | \$190,550 | \$196,267 | \$202,154 | \$208,219 | \$214,466 | \$220,900 | \$227,527 | \$234,352 | \$241,383 |
| Net Before Debt Service and Capital Expenditures | \$455,234 | \$402,397 | \$412,667 | \$423,230 | \$434,094 | \$430,206 | \$411,125 | \$391,454 | \$372,003 | \$351,950 |
| Debt Service |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Existing Debt P\&I | \$489,453 | \$349,496 | \$337,071 | \$324,753 | \$218,965 | \$214,648 | \$215,243 | \$210,709 | \$211,038 | \$211,350 |
| New (2023-2032) Debt Service P\&I | \$0 | \$110,930 | \$118,984 | \$134,893 | \$145,204 | \$145,591 | \$140,833 | \$131,151 | \$126,540 | \$116,995 |
| Total Debt Service | \$489,453 | \$460,426 | \$456,055 | \$459,645 | \$364,169 | \$360,239 | \$356,076 | \$341,860 | \$337,577 | \$328,345 |
| Transfer In (Out) | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Less: Capital Improvements \& COI | \$839,783 | \$265,000 | \$261,461 | \$130,000 | \$105,000 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Debt Proceeds | \$845,000 | \$0 | \$265,000 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Net Annual Cash Flow | $(\$ 29,002)$ | $(\$ 323,029)$ | $(\$ 39,848)$ | (\$166,415) | (\$35,075) | \$69,967 | \$55,049 | \$49,595 | \$34,426 | \$23,604 |
| Restricted and Unrestricted Cash Balance: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Balance at first of year | \$1,375,621 | \$1,346,619 | \$1,023,590 | \$983,742 | \$817,327 | \$782,251 | \$852,219 | \$907,268 | \$956,862 | \$991,288 |
| Net Annual Cash Flow Addition/(subtraction) | $(\$ 29,002)$ | (\$323,029) | (\$39,848) | (\$166,415) | (\$35,075) | \$69,967 | \$55,049 | \$49,595 | \$34,426 | \$23,604 |
| Balance at end of year | \$1,346,619 | \$1,023,590 | \$983,742 | \$817,327 | \$782,251 | \$852,219 | \$907,268 | \$956,862 | \$991,288 | \$1,014,892 |
| "All-in" Debt Coverage | 0.93 | 0.87 | 0.90 | 0.92 | 1.19 | 1.19 | 1.15 | 1.15 | 1.10 | 1.07 |
| PSC Days Cash on Hand | 496 | 339 | 248 | 227 | 202 | 248 | 287 | 317 | 338 | 351 |
| Notes: Legend: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1$)$ Assumes no changes in customer count or usage beyond Test Year. Simplified Rate Case (if eligible) <br> 2) Assumes $3.00 \%$ annual inflation beyond budget year. Conventional (Full) Rate Case |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Building Water Utility Rates: Best Practices

- Do your PSC homework
- Control windows of opportunity
$\checkmark$ LRCFA first
- Engage customers



## Building Utility Rates: Sewer

- With no PSC regulation, a municipal sewer utility has more options for straightforward planning
$\checkmark$ No windows of opportunity; phase-in as desired
$\checkmark$ More opportunities for error?
- Still want fair \& equitable rates to face rate challenges
$\checkmark$ Consider completing a cost-of-service study
$\checkmark$ How are user classes affecting system?
$\checkmark$ Rate structure changes/considerations


## Building Utility Rates: Sewer Case Study

## City of Wausaus WI

|  | Actual $2018$ | Estimated 2019 | Budget $2020$ | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Revenues <br> Total Revenues from User Rates | \$5,433,929 | \$6,096,778 | \$6,285,460 | \$7,605,169 | \$8,755,880 | \$11,737,476 |
| Cumulative Percent Rate Increase |  |  | 22.00\% | 48.84\% | 77.12\% | 18.00\% |
| Dollar Amount Increase to Revenues Required |  |  | \$188,682 | \$1,319,710 | \$1,150,710 | \$2,981,596 |
| Other Revenues |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interest Income | \$43,863 | \$93,055 | \$43,000 | \$43,108 | \$24,348 | \$25,877 |
| Other Income | \$54,388 | \$34,949 | \$36,000 | \$4,040 | \$4,080 | S4,121 |
| Total Other Revenues | \$98,251 | \$128,004 | \$79,000 | \$47,148 | \$28,428 | \$29,998 |
| Total Revenues | \$5,532,180 | \$6,224,782 | \$6,364,460 | \$7,652,317 | \$8,784,308 | \$11,767,474 |
| Expenses |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Operating and Maintenance | \$3,228,465 | \$3,480,549 | \$3,474,290 | \$3,578,519 | \$3,685,874 | \$3,796,45C |
| PILOT Payment | \$450,000 | \$488,596 | \$450,000 | \$450,000 | \$450,000 | \$450,000 |
| Net Before Debt Service and Capital Expenditures | \$1,853,715 | \$2,255,637 | \$2,440,170 | \$3,623,798 | \$4,648,434 | \$7,521,023 |
| Debt Service |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Existing Debt P\&1 ${ }^{1}$ | \$5,882,065 | \$1,358,741 | \$5,552,004 | \$1,495,900 | \$1,166,725 | \$1,142,525 |
| New (2020-2029) Debt Service P\&I |  |  | \$593,219 | \$1,826,456 | \$2,111,186 | \$4,618,59C |
| Total Debt Service | \$5,882,065 | \$1,358,741 | \$6,145,223 | \$3,322,356 | \$3,277,911 | \$5,761,115 |
| Transfer In (Out)/ Advances from (to) | \$191,000 | \$145,603 | \$180,000 | \$140,000 | \$140,000 | \$140,000 |
| Capital Improvements | \$2,809,666 | \$7,261,126 | \$50,790,828 | \$51,587,285 | \$2,422,000 | \$3,290,000 |
| Bonds Issued/Grants/Aid | \$4,040,000 | \$7,088,758 | \$54,116,463 | \$51,323,385 | \$1,522,963 | \$1,522,726 |
| Net Annual Cash Flow | (\$2,607,016) | \$870,131 | (\$199,418) | \$177,542 | \$611,485 | \$132,634 |
| Restricted and Unrestricted Cash Balance: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Balance at first of year |  | \$8,412,244 | \$9,282,375 | \$9,082,957 | \$9,260,499 | \$9,871,984 |
| Net Annual Cash Flow Addition/(subtraction) |  | \$870,131 | (\$199,418) | \$177,542 | \$611,485 | \$132,634 |
| Balance at end of year | \$8,412,244 | \$9,282,375 | \$9,082,957 | \$9,260,499 | \$9,871,984 | \$10,004,618 |

## Building Utility Rates: Sewer Best Practices

- Follow industry standards $\checkmark$ Fair \& equitable
- Consider trends \& long-term assumptions
$\checkmark$ Maintenance expense volatility
$\checkmark$ Unidentified projects
$\checkmark$ Debt coverage capacity
$\checkmark$ Healthy reserve amount
- Build a sewer utility financial policy


## Effective Planning Strategies for Large Utility Projects OTHER REVENUE OPTIONS

## Alternative Revenue Sources

- Larger projects' designs are more likely to include growth elements
- Consideration for methodologies to revenue recovery aligning with growth costs
$\checkmark$ Using all appropriate fees
> Water: https://psc.wi.gov/Pages/ServiceType/Water/WaterTariffs.aspx
$\checkmark$ Connection/Impact Fees
$\checkmark$ TIF



## Impact \& Connection Fees

## Impact Fees

- Wisconsin Statutes 66.0617
- Pay for the proportionate share of facilities needed to serve new development
- Not authorized by PSC, but monitored


## Connection Fees

- Wisconsin Statutes 66.0821
- Meet all or part of the requirements for the construction, reconstruction, improvement, or extension of the sewerage system, and for the payment of all or part of the principal and interest of any indebtedness incurred for those purposes


## Alternative Revenue Sources: Case Study

- \$25M WWTP for 1,035 customers
$\checkmark$ Needed $216 \%$ increase to close on loan
- Current Plant at capacity \& 33\% scoped for growth SEWRPC/DNR Facility Plan
- TIF provided alternative revenue source
$\checkmark$ But-for: Can't issue permits without new plant capacity
$\checkmark$ Project eligible: TIF only pays for $33 \%$
- If increment realized, eliminated $20 \%$ of adjustment needed
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## Important Disclosures

Ehlers is the joint marketing name of the following affiliated businesses (collectively, the "Affiliates"): Ehlers \& Associates, Inc. ("EA"), a municipal advisor registered with the Municipal Securities Rulemaking Board ("MSRB") and the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC"); Ehlers Investment Partners, LLC ("EIP"), an SEC registered investment adviser; and Bond Trust Services Corporation ("BTS"), a holder of a limited banking charter issued by the State of Minnesota.

Where an activity requires registration as a municipal advisor pursuant to Section 15B of the Exchange Act of 1934 (Financial Management Planning and Debt Issuance \& Management), such activity is or will be performed by EA; where an activity requires registration as an investment adviser pursuant to the Investment Advisers Act of 1940 (Investments and Treasury Management), such activity is or will be performed by EIP; and where an activity requires licensing as a bank pursuant to applicable state law (paying agent services shown under Debt Issuance \& Management), such activity is or will be performed by BTS. Activities not requiring registration may be performed by any Affiliate.

This communication does not constitute an offer or solicitation for the purchase or sale of any investment (including without limitation, any municipal financial product, municipal security, or other security) or agreement with respect to any investment strategy or program. This communication is offered without charge to clients, friends, and prospective clients of the Affiliates as a source of general information about the services Ehlers provides. This communication is neither advice nor a recommendation by any Affiliate to any person with respect to any municipal financial product, municipal security, or other security, as such terms are defined pursuant to Section 15B of the Exchange Act of 1934 and rules of the MSRB. This communication does not constitute investment advice by any Affiliate that purports to meet the objectives or needs of any person pursuant to the Investment Advisers Act of 1940 or applicable state law.

